Attorney Docket No.: Q66502

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 U.S. Application No.: 10/050,112

REMARKS

Claims 1-19 have been examined. Claim 14 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, Claims 11, 12 and 15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), and claims 13 and 19 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Also, the Examiner has indicated that claims 1-10 and 16-18 are allowed, and claim 14 would be allowable if amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

The Examiner has rejected claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. In particular, the Examiner maintains that it is not clear whether or not the claimed magnetic tape cartridge includes that drawing-out member.

Accordingly, Applicant has amended claim 14, and submits that such amendments overcome the rejection.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claims 11, 12 and 15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 3,655,145 to Olsen ("Olsen"). However, without prejudice or disclaimer, or admitting to the correctness of the Examiner's rejection, Applicant has canceled claims 11, 12 and 15. Therefore, the rejection of such claims is now moot.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 Attorney Docket No.: Q66502

U.S. Application No.: 10/050,112

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 13 and 19 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Olsen. However, without prejudice or disclaimer, or admitting to the correctness of the

Examiner's rejection, Applicant has canceled claims 13 and 19. Therefore, the rejection of such

claims is now moot.

Allowable Subject Matter

As stated previously, the Examiner has indicated that claims 1-10 and 16-18 are allowed.

Further, the Examiner indicated that claim 14 would be allowed if amended to overcome the

rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. In view of Applicant's amendments to claim

14, Applicant submits that claim 14 is now in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

9

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

U.S. Application No.: 10/050,112

Attorney Docket No.: Q66502

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Allison M. Tulino

Registration No. 48,294

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE 23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: February 25, 2004